IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 3:17-cr-00168-MO

OPINION AND ORDER

v.

ANTONIO LEWIS HOWARD,

Defendant.

MOSMAN, J.,

On October 16, 2017, Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued her Findings and Recommendation (F&R) [60], recommending that Defendant's Motion to Suppress [30] should be DENIED. Defendant filed objections [62], and the government responded orally at the Pretrial Conference [67].

DISCUSSION

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court

1 – OPINION AND ORDER

is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. See

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121

(9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R

depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject,

or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge You's recommendation and I ADOPT the F&R [60] as

my own opinion. The Motion to Suppress [30] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 18th day of October, 2017.

/s/Michael W. Mosman

MICHAEL W. MOSMAN Chief United States District Judge